### PATENT COOPERATION TRE

J.A. KEMT A Co.

Rec'd. 13 FEB 2002

PCter by.....

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

IRVINE, Jonquil Claire J.A. KEMP & CO. 14 South Square Gray's Inn London WC1R 5LX GRANDE BRETAGNE

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

11.02.2002

Applicant's or agent's file reference

N.77933A JCI

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/GB00/03760

International filing date (day/month/year) 02/10/2000

Priority date (day/month/year) 01/10/1999

0/2000 | 01/10/199

Applicant

ISIS INNOVATION LIMITED et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized officer

Cleere, C

Tel.+49 89 2399-7713



### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or age	ent's file reference	1		See Notifica	ation of Transmittal of Interr	national			
N.77933A JCI			FOR FURTHER AC	CTION		Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
Internationa	al appi	ication No.	International filing date (	day/month	/year)	Priority date (day/month/)	year)			
PCT/GB0	00/03	760	02/10/2000			01/10/1999				
Internationa G01N33/		ent Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC	C						
Applicant		***************************************		<del></del>						
	OVA	TION LIMITED et al.								
		ational preliminary exami smitted to the applicant a	-	prepared	by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Ex	amining Authority			
2. This f	REPC	ORT consists of a total of	9 sheets, including this	s cover st	neet.					
b . (s	een a see R	eport is also accompanied amended and are the bas fule 70.16 and Section 60 exes consist of a total of	sis for this report and/or 07 of the Administrative	sheets c	ontaining re	ctifications made before				
		<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3. This r	report	contains indications rela	iting to the following iter	ms:	•••					
. 1	⊠	Basis of the report		*.			• •			
П		Priority								
III	$\boxtimes$		pinion with regard to no	ovelty, inv	entive step	and industrial applicabili	ity -			
IV	$\boxtimes$	Lack of unity of invention	•	3.	•	• •				
V	×	·	nder Article 35(2) with r		novelty, inve	entive step or industrial a	ipplicability;			
VI		Certain documents cite	∍d				•			
VII		Certain defects in the in	nternational application							
VIII		Certain observations or	n the international appli	cation						
		. •				·				
				1	<u> </u>					
Date of sub	omissio	on of the demand		Date of o	completion of	this report				
19/04/20	01			11.02.20	002					
	exam	g address of the international	J	Authoriz	ed officer		STATE OF THE PARTY			
<u></u>	D-80	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	3 epmu d	GONC	ALVES M	LFC	(state of the state of the stat			
		- 149 89 2399 - 4465	•	1			A 3500 : 355			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB00/03760

l.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1	the and	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): <b>Description, pages:</b>									
	1-58	8	as originally filed						-		
						٠					
	Cla	ims, No.:							•		
	1-59	9	as originally filed					÷			
	Dra	wings, sheets:	1								
	1/39	9-39/39	as originally filed								
							:				
	Sec	uence listing par	t of the description,	pages:							
	1-20	O, filed with the lett	er of 20.11.2000								
2.	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.										
	The	se elements were	available or furnished	I to this Author	rity in the fo	llowing lan	guage: , wh	nich is:			
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).									
		the language of p	ublication of the inter	national applic	ation (unde	er Rule 48.3	B(b)).				
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3)	translation furnished	for the purpos	es of interr	national pre	liminary exar	mination (und	er Rule		
3.			cleotide and/or amin ry examination was c					pplication, the	<b>3</b>		
		contained in the in	nternational applicatio	n in written for	m.						
		filed together with	the international app	lication in com	puter read	able form.					
	Ø	furnished subsequ	uently to this Authority	y in written forr	n.						
	$\boxtimes$	furnished subseq	uently to this Authority	y in computer i	readable fo	rm.	•				
	×		at the subsequently fu application as filed has			e listing doe	s not go bey	ond the disclo	sure in		
	×	The statement that listing has been for	at the information recounsished.	orded in compi	uter readab	ole form is i	dentical to the	e written sequ	ence		

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB00/03760

					•	
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:	•		
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5.			en established as if (some or eyond the disclosure as filed		ad not been made, sinc	e they have been
		(Any replacement s report.)	sheet containing such amen	dments must be refe	erred to under item 1 and	d annexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations	, if necessary:		·	
III.	Nor	n-establishment of	opinion with regard to nov	velty, inventive step	and industrial applica	ability
1.			the claimed invention appea trially applicable have not be			to be non-
		the entire internation	nal application.		•	
	×	claims Nos. 1-38 a	nd 40-59 (part); 39.			
be	caus	se:				
	K*20					
	×		al application, or the said claused an international preliminational preliminational preliminational prelimination and the said claused		•	ubject matter
	⊠	•	ims or drawings ( <i>indicate pa</i> clear that no meaningful op et		-	1-38 and 44-59
		the claims, or said could be formed.	claims Nos. are so inadequ	ately supported by th	ne description that no m	eaningful opinion
		no international sea	arch report has been establi	shed for the said clai	ms Nos	
2.	and		nal preliminary examination ence listing to comply with the			
		the written form has	s not been furnished or does	s not comply with the	standard.	
		the computer reada	able form has not been furnis	shed or does not con	nply with the standard.	
11.7	Loc	de af comitoe of increase	vian.			

### IV. Lack of unity of invention

1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB00/03760

		restricted the claims.		-				•			
	☒	paid additional fees.									
		paid additional fees und	ler prote	est.			,				
		neither restricted nor pa	id addit	tional fees	S.						٠.
2.	·	This Authority found the 68.1, not to invite the ap					not com	olied and	chose, a	ccording	to Rule
3.	This	s Authority considers tha	t the red	quirement	of unity of inv	ention in	accordar	nce with	Rules 13.	.1, 13.2 a	nd 13.3 is
		complied with.					1 · · · ·	:			
		not complied with for the	e follow	ing reaso	ns:		٠.				
4.		nsequently, the following mination in establishing all parts.  the parts relating to clai	this rep	ort:	national applic	ation wer	e the sul	pject of ir	nternation	al prelimi	nary
٧.		soned statement unde tions and explanations				novelty, i	nventive	step or	industri	al applic	ability;
1.	Stat	tement	•							*	
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1, 12, 35		-	· · · ·			
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-38, 40-59		•				•
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-38, 43-59			.•			

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

While the applicant's observations have been considered, the previously expressed opinion is nervertheless maintained, at least in part, for the following reasons:

### Section III

- In view of the large number and also the wording of the claims presently on file, 1. which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present application fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful complete examination is impossible (see also section V. items I.2, II.1 and III.1).
- 2. The application comprises claims defining the invention in terms of the result to be achieved (example claim 39) which do not comply with the requirements of Article 6 PCT. The scope of claim 39 is not defined, thus examination is not possible.
- The application comprises claims to methods of diagnostic practised on the 3. human or animal body, as well as claims to methods of treatment practised on the human or body (example claims 40, 41 and 42). For the assessment of such claims on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exists in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims.

### Section IV

- The claims currently on file relate to three different inventions: 1.
  - I) Celiac disease diagnostic methods, agents and kits: independent claims 1, 2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 38, 40, 41, 42, and the claims dependent thereon;
  - II) Plant cells, plants and parts of plants that express mutant gliadin proteins, foods and crops containing such plants: independent claims 31, 35, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58 and the claims dependent thereon;

III) Polynucleotides encoding mutant gliadin, cells transformed with Polynucleotides encoding mutant gliadin, transgenic animals and antibodies against mutant gliadin: independent claims 12, 19, 20, 29, 30, 31, 37 and the claims dependent thereon.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons: The sequence of a natural occurring homologue of gliadin or its analogue (that is the technical feature common to the abovementioned groups of claims) is already known from documents D1 to D4. The requisite unity of invention (Rule 13.1 PCT) therefore no longer exists inasmuch as a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT does not exist between the subject-matter of the abovementioned groups of independent claims.

The applicant has paid the fees relative to the examination of the aforementioned three inventions.

### Section V

#### Invention I:

Celiac disease diagnostic methods, agents and kits: independent claims 1, 2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 38, 40, 41, 42, and the claims dependent thereon.

- 1.1 The wording of claim 1 is such that the subject-matter of the claim is very broad. and consequently lacks novelty regarding the disclosures in the following documents cited in the search report (Article 33(2) PCT).
  - D1: O'KEEFFE J ET AL: "T cell proliferation, MHC class II restriction and cytokine products of gliadin-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)." CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 117, no. 2, August 1999 (1999-08), pages 269-276, XP000989621 ISSN: 0009-9104
  - VAN DE WAL YVONNE ET AL: "Small intestinal T cells of celiac disease D2:

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

patients recognize a natural pepsin fragment of gliadin." PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES, vol. 95, no. 17, 18 August 1998 (1998-08-18), pages 10050-10054, XP000982626 Aug. 18, 1998 ISSN: 0027-8424

D3: TRONCONE R ET AL: "Cytokines produced by gliadin-specific T cell clones from the coeliac mucosa." GASTROENTEROLOGY, vol. 110, no. 4 SUPPL., April 1996 (1996-04), page A1031 XP000989625 96th Annual Meeting of the American Gastroenterological Association and the Digestive Disease Week; San Francisco, California, USA; May 19-22, 1996 ISSN: 0016-5085

D4: GODKIN A J ET AL: "Identification of a coeliac disease-specific T cell epitope from A-gliadin." GUT, vol. 44, no. SUPPL. 1, April 1999 (1999-04), page A72 XP000989626 British Society of Gastroenterology Annual Meeting; Glasgow, Scotland, UK; March 23-25, 1999 ISSN: 0017-5749

- 1.2 The remaining dependent and independent claims of invention I appear to relate to obvious alternatives of the method of claim 1 and are therefore not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- The Invention I contains a total of 19 claims, of which 17 are independent claims. 1.3 In view of the large number and also the wording of the claims, which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present invention fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful complete examination is impossible.

### Invention II:

Plant cells, plants and parts of plants that express mutant gliadin proteins, foods and crops containing such plants: independent claims 35, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58 and the claims dependent thereon.

11.1 The subject-matter of claim 35, a cell **comprising** a mutant gliadin protein epitope, is anticipated by the disclosure in the following prior art document (Article 33(2) PCT):

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

D5: EP 0 905 518 A (UNIV LEIDEN ;ACADEMISCH ZIEKENHUIS LEIDEN (NL)) 31 March 1999 (1999-03-31).

- 11.2 The remaining dependent and independent claims of invention II (Plant cells, plants and parts of plants that express mutant gliadin proteins, foods and crops containing such plants) appear to relate to obvious alternatives to the subjectmatter of claim 35 and are therefore not based on an inventive concept (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 11.3 The Invention II contains a total of 14 claims, of which 13 are independent claims. In view of the large number and also the wording of the claims, which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present invention fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful complete examination is impossible.

#### Invention III:

Polynucleotides encoding mutant gliadin, cells transformed with Polynucleotides encoding mutant gliadin, transgenic animals and antibodies against mutant gliadin: independent claims 12, 19, 20, 29, 30, 31, 37 and the claims dependent thereon.

- 111.1 The subject-matter of claim 12 lacks novelty regarding the disclosures in the following documents cited in the search report (Article 33(2) PCT).
  - D1: O'KEEFFE J ET AL: "T cell proliferation, MHC class II restriction and cytokine products of gliadin-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)." CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 117, no. 2, August 1999 (1999-08), pages 269-276, XP000989621 ISSN: 0009-9104
  - VAN DE WAL YVONNE ET AL: "Small intestinal T cells of celiac disease D2: patients recognize a natural pepsin fragment of gliadin." PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES, vol. 95, no. 17, 18 August 1998 (1998-08-18), pages 10050-10054, XP000982626 Aug. 18, 1998 ISSN: 0027-8424
  - TRONCONE R ET AL: "Cytokines produced by gliadin-specific T cell D3:

clones from the coeliac mucosa." GASTROENTEROLOGY, vol. 110, no. 4 SUPPL., April 1996 (1996-04), page A1031 XP000989625 96th Annual Meeting of the American Gastroenterological Association and the Digestive Disease Week; San Francisco, California, USA; May 19-22, 1996 ISSN: 0016-5085

D4: GODKIN A J ET AL: "Identification of a coeliac disease-specific T cell epitope from A-gliadin." GUT, vol. 44, no. SUPPL. 1, April 1999 (1999-04), page A72 XP000989626 British Society of Gastroenterology Annual Meeting; Glasgow, Scotland, UK; March 23-25, 1999 ISSN: 0017-5749

D5: EP 0 905 518 A (UNIV LEIDEN ;ACADEMISCH ZIEKENHUIS LEIDEN (NL)) 31 March 1999 (1999-03-31).

- 111.2 The remaining dependent and independent claims of invention III (Polynucleotides encoding mutant gliadin, cells transformed with Polynucleotides encoding mutant gliadin, transgenic animals and antibodies against mutant gliadin) appear to relate to obvious alternatives to the subject-matter of claim 12 and are therefore not based on an inventive concept (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 111.3 The Invention III contains a total of 10 claims, of which 7 are independent claims. In view of the large number and also the wording of the claims, which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present invention fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful complete examination is impossible.